

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/612,471	07/02/2003	Ronald F. Watts	139102	3145	
24587	24587 7590 03/06/2008 ALCATEL LUCENT			EXAMINER	
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS			ELAHEE, MD S		
3400 W. PLANO PARKWAY, MS LEGL2 PLANO, TX 75075		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
,	12/11/0, 17/130/3		2614		
	•			<u>'</u>	
			· MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
	•		03/06/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

.1						
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/612,471	WATTS ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		MD S. ELAHEE	2614			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet wit	th the correspondence address			
A SH WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Do ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 or SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the provision of time to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 36(a). In no event, however, may a re- will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON' e, cause the application to become AB.	CATION. apply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 02 Ju	<u>uly 2003</u> .				
2a) <u></u> —	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)						
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposit	tion of Claims					
4)⊠	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.				
5)[Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
	Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.					
•	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
. 8)∟	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.				
Applicat	tion Papers					
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.				
10)🛛	The drawing(s) filed on 02 July 2003 is/are: a)	☐ accepted or b)⊠ objec	ted to by the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex					
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority document Certified copies of the priority document Copies of the certified copies of the priority document polication from the International Burea See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in A prity documents have been tu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage			
	ice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)			
3) 🛛 Info	ice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date <u>11/16/2004</u> .		s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application 			

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

1. The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on November 16, 2004 was received. The submission is in compliance with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97. Accordingly, the information disclosure statement is being considered by the examiner.

Claim Objections

2. Claim 8 is objected to because of the following informalities: regarding claim 8, the phrase "sercie" in line 4 appears to be "service". Appropriate correction is required.

Drawings

3. The informal drawings are not of sufficient quality to permit examination. Accordingly, replacement drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to this Office action. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action.

Applicant is given a TWO MONTH time period to submit new drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.81. Extensions of time may be obtained under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). Failure to timely submit replacement drawing sheets will result in ABANDONMENT of the application.

Application/Control Number: 10/612,471 Page 3

Art Unit: 2614

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the

basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on

sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

5. Claims 1, 2, 4, 5, 12, 13 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated

by Staples et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,889,845).

Regarding claims 1 and 12, with respect to Figures 1-5, 12-20, Staples teaches in a

communication network having at least a co-worker telephone instrument 122 in Fig.3 [i.e., first

calling station] connected to a PBX 112 in Fig.3 [i.e., first telephonic network] and a service-user

calling station connected to a network including virtual presence server 106 and corporate LAN

114 in Fig.3 [i.e., packet-based network] (col.5, lines 17-27, 37-47, col.7, lines 37-46), an

improvement of an assembly for facilitating call connection between the first calling station and

the service-user calling station, the service-user calling station having at least a first virtual

calling-station identity in the first telephonic network such that the service-user calling station

appears virtually resident in the first telephonic network (col.3, lines 31-40, col.10, lines 14-24),

said assembly comprising:

a virtual-location indexer embodied at the packet-based network, said indexer for indexing

together the at least the first virtual calling-station identity of the service-user calling station with

a selected packet-based-network identity of the service-user calling station, the packet-based-

Page 4

network identity associated with logical connection of the service-user calling station to the

packet data network and the virtual calling-station identity associated with a virtual residency

location of the service-user calling station in the first telephonic network, and said indexer

accessed pursuant to call routing of a call between the first calling station and the service-user

calling station to permit effectuation of the call connection therebetween (col.2, line 55-col.3,

line 10, col.6, lines 39-48);

Regarding claim 2, Staples, as applied to claim 1, teaches that the service-user calling

station is logically connectable to the packet data network at any of a corporate office [i.e., first

logical location] and at least a home location [i.e., second logical location] and wherein the

selected packet-based-network identity of the service-user calling station is associated with a

selected one of the first logical location and the second logical location at which the service-user

calling station is connected to the packet data network (fig.3; col.2, line 55-col.3, line 10).

Regarding claim 4, Staples, as applied to claim 1, teaches that the packet data network

comprises a presence server [i.e., proxy server] and wherein said virtual location indexer is

embodied at the proxy server (fig.3; col.2, line 55-col.3, line 10).

Regarding claim 5, Staples, as applied to claim 1, teaches that the packet-based-network

identity of the service-user calling station indexed by said virtual location indexer comprises an

IP-address (fig.3; col.2, line 55-col.3, line 10).

Regarding claim 13, Staples, as applied to claim 12, teaches that the operation of

initiating a call by the first communication station to the service-user calling station through

entry of indicia of a selected one of the at least the first calling-station identity (col.2, line 55-

col.3, line 10).

6.

Regarding claim 18, Staples, as applied to claim 12, teaches that the at least the first

virtual calling station identity comprises the first calling station identity and at least a second

virtual calling station identity and wherein the index formed during said operation of indexing

indexes all of the first and at least second virtual calling stations together with the selected

packet-based network identity (col.2, line 55-col.3, line 10, lines 31-40).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all

obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are

such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person

having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

7. The factual inquiries set forth in Graham v. John Deere Co., 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459

(1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35

U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.

2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.

3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.

4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness

or nonobviousness.

Claims 3, 16 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over 8.

Staples et al...

Regarding claim 3, Staples, as applied to claim 2, teaches that the service-user calling

station is moveable, separately connectable at the first logical location and at the at least the

second logical location, and wherein the selected packet-based network identity indexed together

by said virtual location indexer is updateable responsive to movement and connection of the

service-user calling station separately at the first logical location and at the at least the second

logical location (col.2, line 55-col.3, line 10, lines 31-40).

However, Staples does not specifically teach whether the index is updateable. Examiner

takes official notice that updating index is well known in the art. Thus, it would have been

obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Staples to

incorporate the feature of updating an index in Staples's invention in order to keep the index

updated such that any calls routed for an intended remote access user can easily be routed to his

current location based on the updated information.

Claim 16 is rejected for the same reasons as discussed above with respect to claim 3.

Furthermore, Staples, as applied to claim 12, teaches that the service-user calling station is

logically connectable to the packet data network at any of a first logical location and at least a

Art Unit: 2614

second logical location and wherein said method further comprises the index formed during said operation of indexing when the service-user calling station is reconnected out of one of the first and at least second logical locations and connected into another one of the first and at least second logical locations (col.2, line 55-col.3, line 10, lines 31-40).

Page 7

Regarding claim 17, Staples, as applied to claim 16, teaches that the packet data network comprises a proxy server and wherein the index formed during said operation of indexing is embodied at the proxy server (col.2, line 55-col.3, line 10, lines 31-40).

9. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Staples et al. in view of Singh et al. (U.S. Pub. No. 2007/0274474).

Regarding claim 6, Staples, as applied to claim 5, teaches that the service-user calling station operates pursuant to an SIP (session initiation protocol) and wherein the packet-based-network identity of the service-user calling station indexed by said virtual location indexer comprises a SIP IP-address (col.2, line 55-col.3, line 10, lines 31-40).

However, Staples does not specifically teach operating pursuant to an SIP (session initiation protocol) and indexer comprising a SIP IP-address. Singh teaches that operating pursuant to an SIP (session initiation protocol) and indexer comprising a SIP IP-address (page 2, paragraph 0025). Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Staples to incorporate the feature of operating pursuant to an SIP (session initiation protocol) and indexer comprising a SIP IP-address in Staples's invention

as taught by Stein. The motivation for the modification is to do so in order to establish an internet session using a SIP protocol such that users can get benefit from unified messaging services on a SIP based system.

10. Claims 7-10, 14, 15, 19 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Staples in view of Koch et al. (U.S. Pub. No. 2004/0234061).

Regarding claims 7 and 14, Staples, as applied to claims 1 and 13, teaches that the packet-based-network further comprises a presence server that couples the first telephonic network together with the packet-based network wherein a call to the service-user calling station by the first calling station is routed to the presence server and wherein said presence server accesses said virtual location indexer to obtain the selected packet-based network identity of the service-user calling station to route the call thereto (fig.3; col.2, line 55-col.3, line 10).

However, Staples does not specifically teach that the packet-based-network further comprises a gateway, wherein a call is routed to the gateway and wherein said gateway accesses said virtual location indexer. Koch teaches that the packet-based-network further comprises a gateway, wherein a call is routed to the gateway and wherein said gateway accesses said virtual location indexer (fig.8; page 8, paragraph 0106). Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Staples to incorporate the gateway in Staples's invention as taught by Koch for accessing the virtual location indexer and routing a call. The motivation for the modification is to do so in order to access a database

Art Unit: 2614

through the gateway such that routing a call from telephony network to internet based network can be achieved.

Regarding claims 8, 15 and 20, Staples, as applied to claims 7, 14 and 19, does not specifically teach that the first telephonic network comprises a service control point, wherein the gateway is identified by a gateway address, wherein the call to the service-user calling station by the first calling station is caused to be routed by the service control point to the gateway. Koch teaches the first telephonic network comprises a service control point, wherein the gateway is identified by a gateway address, wherein the call to the service-user calling station by the first calling station is caused to be routed by the service control point to the gateway (fig.8; page 8, paragraph 0106). Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Staples to incorporate the feature of the first telephonic network comprising a service control point, wherein the gateway is identified by a gateway address, wherein the call to the service-user calling station by the first calling station is caused to be routed by the service control point to the gateway in Staples's invention as taught by Koch. The motivation for the modification is to do so in order to process a call by determining routing information to route the call.

Regarding claims 9 and 19, Staples, as applied to claims 8 and 17, teaches that the call to the service-user calling station is initiated by the first calling station through entry thereat of the first virtual calling-station identity and wherein the assembly further comprises a database (col.2, line 55-col.3, line 10).

Application/Control Number: 10/612,471

Art Unit: 2614

However, Staples does not specifically teach that a database embodied at the service control point, the database comprising a map that maps the first virtual calling-station identity together with the gateway address. Koch teaches that a database embodied at the service control point, the database comprising a map that maps the first virtual calling-station identity together with the gateway address (fig.8; page 8, paragraph 0106). Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Staples to incorporate a database embodied at the service control point, the database comprising a map that maps the first virtual calling-station identity together with the gateway address in Staples's invention as taught by Koch. The motivation for the modification is to do so in order to determine routing information to route a call.

Regarding claim 10, Staples, as applied to claim 9, teaches that the at least the first virtual calling-station identity of the service-user calling station comprises the first virtual calling-station identity and at least a second virtual calling-station identity (col.2, line 55-col.3, line 10).

However, Staples does not specifically teach that the map formed of said database maps all of the first and at least second calling-station identities of the service-user calling station together with the gateway address. Koch teaches that the map formed of said database maps all of the first and at least second calling-station identities of the service-user calling station together with the gateway address (fig.8; page 8, paragraph 0106). Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Staples to incorporate the map formed of said database maps all of the first and at least second calling-station identities of the service-user calling station together with the gateway address in Staples's

Art Unit: 2614

invention as taught by Koch. The motivation for the modification is to do so in order to determine routing information to route a call from a caller to a called party.

11. Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Staples et al. in view of Himbeault et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,711,155).

Regarding claim 11, Staples, as applied to claim 1, teaches that the first telephonic network comprises a PBX switch and wherein a call placed by the first calling station to the service-user calling station is routed by the PBX switch to the packet data network and wherein, once delivered to the packet data network, the selected packet-based-network identity indexed together at said virtual location indexer is determined, and the call is routed thereto (col.2, line 55-col.3, line 10, lines 31-40).

However, Staples does not specifically teach that the first telephonic network comprises a TDM (time division multiplexed) network having a TDM switch. Himbeault teaches that the first telephonic network comprises a TDM (time division multiplexed) network having a TDM switch (abstract; col.1, lines 38-47, col.2, lines 16-26). Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Staples to incorporate a TDM (time division multiplexed) network having a TDM switch in the first telephonic network in Staples's invention as taught by Himbeault. The motivation for the modification is to do so in order to carry timing information for achieving synchronization.

Application/Control Number: 10/612,471

Art Unit: 2614

Conclusion

Page 12

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to MD S. ELAHEE whose telephone number is (571)272-7536.

The examiner can normally be reached on Mon to Fri from 9:00am to 5:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Fan Tsang can be reached on (571) 272-7547. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Md. Shofind Alam Elahue MD SHAFIUL ALAM ELAHEE

Examiner

Art Unit 2614

March 3, 2008